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## Definition

A category of fibrant objects is a category with finite products and two classes of morphisms, called 'fibrations' and 'weak equivalences', that satisfy the following axioms:
(A) Every isomorphism is a weak equivalence and the class of weak equivalences has the 2-out-of-6 property.
(B) Every isomorphism is a fibration and the class of fibrations is closed under composition.
(C) The class of fibrations is closed under pullback and the class of trivial fibrations is also closed under pullback.
(D) For every object $X$, the diagonal $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$ factors as a weak equivalence followed by a fibration.
(E) Every object is fibrant, i.e. for every object $X, X \rightarrow 1$ is a fibration.
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## Examples

- Given a model category, the full subcategory of fibrant objects (with the obvious fibrations and weak equivalences) is a category of fibrant objects.
- If $\mathcal{M}$ is a right-proper model category and the class of weak equivalences in $\mathcal{M}$ is closed under binary product, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a category of fibrant objects (with the same weak equivalences but more fibrations).
- The category of small categories of fibrant objects is itself a category of fibrant objects. This is a result of Szumiło.
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## Answer

The mapping space for a pair ( $X, Y$ ) of objects in a category of fibrant objects is homotopy equivalent to the simplicial set defined as follows:

- The $n$-simplices are commutative diagrams of the form below:

- The outermost face operators delete a row of vertical arrows.
- The inner face operators compose a pair of rows of vertical arrows.
- The degeneracy operators insert a row of identity arrows.
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From now on, $\mathcal{C}$ is a category of fibrant objects and $\mathcal{W}$ is the subcategory of weak equivalences.
Let $X$ and $Y$ be objects in $C$.

- A zigzag $X \leadsto Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is a diagram in $\mathcal{C}$ of the form below,

$$
X \_\_\bullet \_\cdots \_\_\_
$$

where the edges are arrows pointing either leftward or rightward and all leftward-pointing arrows are weak equivalences.

- A cocycle $(f, w): X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is a diagram in $\mathcal{C}$ of the form below:

$$
X \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{w}} \bullet \xrightarrow{f} Y
$$

This terminology is due to Jardine.
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The homotopy category $\operatorname{Ho} \mathcal{C}$ is the category obtained from $\mathcal{C}$ by freely adjoining inverses for weak equivalences. More explicitly:

- The objects in $\mathrm{Ho} \mathcal{C}$ are the objects in $\mathcal{C}$.
- The morphisms in Ho $C$ are zigzags in $C$ modulo a certain equivalence relation.
- Composition in $\mathrm{Ho} \mathcal{C}$ is induced by concatenation of zigzags. The above description does not use the fact that $\mathcal{C}$ is a category of fibrant objects.
It is also unsatisfactory because it involves zigzags of arbitrary length. Can we do better?
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## Simplifying zigzags

We need a way of simplifying zigzags in a category of fibrant objects. The key idea is to turn zigzags of the form

into equivalent zigzags of the form below,

$$
X \check{\simeq} \bullet \longrightarrow \longrightarrow Y
$$

thereby reducing the number of leftward-pointing arrows.
If we can do the above in a homotopically sensitive way, then what we have is a homotopical calculus of right fractions.
In that situation, an old result of Dwyer and Kan says that the mapping spaces are homotopy equivalent to the nerves of the categories of cocycles.

## Functional correspondences

## Functional correspondences

Let $X$ and $Y$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

## Functional correspondences

Let $X$ and $Y$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

- A functional correspondence $(p, v): X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$


## Functional correspondences

Let $X$ and $Y$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

- A functional correspondence $(p, v): X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is a cocycle


## Functional correspondences

Let $X$ and $Y$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

- A functional correspondence $(p, v): X \rightarrow Y$ in $C$ is a cocycle such that the induced morphism $\langle p, v\rangle: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y \times X$ is a fibration.


## Functional correspondences

Let $X$ and $Y$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

- A functional correspondence $(p, v): X \rightarrow Y$ in $C$ is a cocycle such that the induced morphism $\langle p, v\rangle: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y \times X$ is a fibration.
- For any cocycle $(f, w): X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$,


## Functional correspondences

Let $X$ and $Y$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

- A functional correspondence $(p, v): X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is a cocycle such that the induced morphism $\langle p, v\rangle: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y \times X$ is a fibration.
- For any cocycle $(f, w): X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$, there exist a functional correspondence $(p, v): X \rightarrow Y$


## Functional correspondences

Let $X$ and $Y$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

- A functional correspondence $(p, v): X \rightarrow Y$ in $C$ is a cocycle such that the induced morphism $\langle p, v\rangle: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y \times X$ is a fibration.
- For any cocycle $(f, w): X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$, there exist a functional correspondence $(p, v): X \rightarrow Y$ and a commutative diagram of the form below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X \underset{\sim}{\sim} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{f} Y \\
& \|\quad \simeq j j \quad\| \\
& X \underset{v}{\simeq} \hat{X} \underset{p}{\longrightarrow} Y
\end{aligned}
$$

## Functional correspondences

Let $X$ and $Y$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

- A functional correspondence $(p, v): X \rightarrow Y$ in $C$ is a cocycle such that the induced morphism $\langle p, v\rangle: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y \times X$ is a fibration.
- For any cocycle $(f, w): X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$, there exist a functional correspondence $(p, v): X \rightarrow Y$ and a commutative diagram of the form below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X \underset{\sim}{\sim} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{f} Y \\
& \|\quad \simeq \downarrow j \quad\| \\
& X \underset{v}{\simeq} \hat{X} \underset{p}{\longrightarrow} Y
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed, using Brown's factorisation lemma, we just factor $\langle f, w\rangle: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y \times X$ as a weak equivalence followed by a fibration.

## Functional correspondences

Let $X$ and $Y$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

- A functional correspondence $(p, v): X \rightarrow Y$ in $C$ is a cocycle such that the induced morphism $\langle p, v\rangle: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y \times X$ is a fibration.
- For any cocycle $(f, w): X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$, there exist a functional correspondence $(p, v): X \rightarrow Y$ and a commutative diagram of the form below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X \underset{\sim}{\sim} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{f} Y \\
& \|\quad \simeq j j \quad\| \\
& X \underset{v}{\simeq} \hat{X} \underset{p}{\longrightarrow} Y
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed, using Brown's factorisation lemma, we just factor $\langle f, w\rangle: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y \times X$ as a weak equivalence followed by a fibration.

- Moreover, the data $(p, v)$ and $j$ are homotopically unique, i.e. the space of such choices is contractible.
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All of the above steps are functorial.
These two procedures lie at the heart of the proof that categories of fibrant objects admit a homotopical calculus of right fractions.
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## Revisiting cocycles

A cocycle $X \rightarrow Y$ in the sense of Jardine is a diagram of the form below:

$$
X \simeq \simeq \longrightarrow Y
$$

Question. What is the connection between cocycles in the sense above and cocycles in cohomology?
Answer. The Verdier hypercovering theorem.
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$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho} \mathrm{Top}}(X, \mathrm{~K}(A, n)) \cong \mathrm{H}^{n}(X ; A)
$$

where the RHS is singular cohomology.
Similarly, given a sheaf $\mathscr{A}$ of abelian groups on $X$,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Hossh}(X)}\left(1_{X}, \mathrm{~K}(\mathscr{A}, n)\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{n}(X ; \mathscr{A})
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where the RHS is sheaf cohomology.
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We have the following homotopy colimit formula for mapping spaces,

$$
\operatorname{RHom}_{C}(X, Y) \simeq \operatorname{holim}_{\left(\mathcal{W}_{/ X}\right)}{ }^{\text {op }} \operatorname{Hom}_{C}(U, Y)
$$

where:

- $\mathcal{C}$ is a category of fibrant objects.
- $\mathcal{W}$ is the subcategory of weak equivalences.
- $U: \mathcal{W}_{I X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the obvious projection.

This is a straightforward consequence of Thomason's homotopy colimit theorem and the earlier characterisation of $\mathbf{R H o m}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ in terms of cocycles.
In fact, we can replace $\mathcal{W}_{/ X}$ with the full subcategory $\mathcal{Q}_{X}$ spanned by the trivial fibrations $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.
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Let $X$ be a topological space.
Definition. A hypercover of $X$ is a sheaf $\mathscr{U}$ of simplicial sets on $X$ such that $\mathscr{U} \rightarrow 1_{X}$ is a stalkwise trivial Kan fibration.

Example. Let $\left\{U_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ be an open cover of $X$. There is a hypercover of $X$ defined as follows,

$$
\mathscr{U}_{n}=\coprod_{\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)} U_{i_{0}} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_{n}}
$$

where $\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ runs over all $(n+1)$-tuples of elements of $I$.
Thus, hypercovers are generalisations of open covers.
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This is basically the Verdier hypercovering theorem.

