

Proposition. *Let \mathcal{J} be a small category. For each locally small category C , there is a functor $\mathcal{H}om : [\mathcal{J}, C]^{\text{op}} \times [\mathcal{J}, C] \rightarrow [\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{Set}]$ such that*

$$[\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{Set}](1, \mathcal{H}om(A, B)) \cong [\mathcal{J}, C](A, B)$$

naturally in A and B , and this makes $[\mathcal{J}, C]$ into a $[\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{Set}]$ -enriched category.

Proof. Define $\mathcal{H}om : [\mathcal{J}, C]^{\text{op}} \times [\mathcal{J}, C] \rightarrow [\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{Set}]$ by the following end formula:

$$\mathcal{H}om(A, B) = \int_{k:j} \mathbf{Set}(\mathcal{J}(-, k), C(Ak, Bk))$$

This is clearly functorial. Concretely, an element of α in $(\mathcal{H}om(A, B))(j)$ is the same thing as a $\coprod_{k \in \text{obj } \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{J}(j, k)$ -indexed family of morphisms $\alpha_f : Ak \rightarrow Bk$ in C , such that for any two arrows $f : j \rightarrow k$, $g : k \rightarrow l$ in \mathcal{J} , the diagram below commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Ak & \xrightarrow{\alpha_f} & Bk \\ Ag \downarrow & & \downarrow Bg \\ Al & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{g \circ f}} & Bl \end{array}$$

Decomposing an element β of $(\mathcal{H}om(B, C))(j)$ the same way, we obtain a commutative rectangle

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Ak & \xrightarrow{\alpha_f} & Bk & \xrightarrow{\beta_f} & Ck \\ Ag \downarrow & & Bg \downarrow & & \downarrow Cg \\ Al & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{g \circ f}} & Bl & \xrightarrow{\beta_{g \circ f}} & Cl \end{array}$$

and thus we have an element of $(\mathcal{H}om(A, C))(j)$. This is certainly natural in j : given $h : j \rightarrow i$, $h \cdot \alpha$ is the element in $(\mathcal{H}om(A, B))(i)$ corresponding to the family $(h \cdot \alpha)_e = \alpha_{e \circ h}$. Clearly, this is the required associative composition with identity.

It remains to be shown that a natural transformation $1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(A, B)$ is the same thing as a natural transformation $A \Rightarrow B$. Given a natural transformation $\alpha : A \Rightarrow B$, we define an element α^j of $(\mathcal{H}om(A, B))(j)$ for each object j in \mathcal{J} as follows: given $f : j \rightarrow k$, we set

$$(\alpha^j)_f = \alpha_k$$

and naturality of α_k makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Ak & \xrightarrow{(\alpha^j)_f} & Bk \\ Ag \downarrow & & \downarrow Bg \\ Al & \xrightarrow{(\alpha^j)_{g \circ f}} & Bl \end{array}$$

commute for every arrow $g : k \rightarrow l$ in \mathcal{J} . By construction $h \cdot \alpha^j = \alpha^i$ for all arrows $h : j \rightarrow i$ in \mathcal{J} , so this defines a natural transformation $1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(A, B)$. Conversely, given a family of elements α^j such that $h \cdot \alpha^j = \alpha^i$ for all $h : j \rightarrow i$, we discover

$$(\alpha^j)_f = (\alpha^j)_{\text{id}_k \circ f} = (f \cdot \alpha^k)_{\text{id}_k} = (\alpha^k)_{\text{id}_k}$$

for all arrows $f : j \rightarrow k$ in \mathcal{J} , so we get a natural transformation $\alpha : A \Rightarrow B$ by setting $\alpha_k = (\alpha^k)_{\text{id}_k}$. This establishes the natural bijection in the statement of the proposition. ■